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Objective: To compare the surgical efficacy and wound-healing characteristics of the tissue adhesive octyl-2-cyanoacrylate (approved by the Food and Drug Administration) with traditional suture closure in upper blepharoplasty.

Methods: Prospective, randomized, blinded study comparing cosmetic and functional outcome and time efficiency. Twenty subjects underwent upper eyelid blepharoplasty. Each patient had a control side and an experimental side determined randomly. One eyelid incision was closed with octyl-2-cyanoacrylate (Dermabond; Ethicon Inc, Somerville, NJ) tissue glue, and the other with 6.0 suture (polypropylene or fast-absorbing gut). Comparisons were performed for the time for closure by each method, wound healing, and patient satisfaction. Macrophotographs of the wounds at 1, 2, and 4 weeks after surgery were graded by 5 observers blinded to the closure method, using a 10-point scale and a modified Hollander wound evaluation scale.

Results: No statistically significant difference was found between the quality of octyl-2-cyanoacrylate closure and suture closure at 1 month. There were no differences in wound complications, duration of healing, inflammation, or final incision appearance. By 2 weeks, the sides were indistinguishable in 15 (75%) of the patients. Time for closure averaged 7 minutes with suture and 8 minutes with glue.

Conclusions: Octyl-2-cyanoacrylate glue is an excellent alternative to suture closure, producing equivalent quality of closure at all time points and no difference in appearance. This adhesive was sufficient to withstand the forces of closure in upper eyelid blepharoplasty without dehiscence in the absence of sutures.
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For more than 20 years, tissue glues have been investigated as a possible alternative to sutures. Such adhesives promise quicker application, greater comfort for the patient, and possibly faster healing in sensitive areas such as the eyelid. Since the 1970s, investigators such as Kamer and Joseph,1 Toriumi et al,2 and others have reported the use of tissue adhesives for the closure of wounds ranging from blepharoplasty incisions to lacerations. However, to date, a controlled study has not been done comparing identical tissues in the same patient (ie, the bilateral eyelid model) to definitively assess the wound-healing characteristics and surgical efficacy of this method.

Cyanoacrylate is a polymer tissue adhesive that was first discovered in 1949 and has been used in wound closure since the mid-1970s.3 Butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (Histoacryl; B. Brown Medical Inc, Sheffield, England) has low tissue reactivity and few toxic effects. It has been used in middle ear surgery with no adverse effects. Kamer and Joseph1 report a series of 100 patients with wounds closed with butyl-2-cyanoacrylate. They found no clinically significant adverse effects: no problems with wound healing, graft rejection, or infection. Similar findings with Histoacryl in human wound and animal models were reported by Keng and Bucknall,4 Ellis and Shaikh,5 Shorr et al,6 Toriumi et al,7 Howell et al,8 Shigemitsu and Mahima,9 and Veloudios et al.10 All attest to the safety and efficacy of cyanoacrylates in closure of surgical and traumatic wounds. Octyl-2-cyanoacrylate (Dermabond) is a stronger, more flexible, longer-chain cyanoacrylate than prior cyanoacrylates used. It has been found to be safe and effective by the Food and Drug Administration and has been used successfully in studies by Toriumi et al,9 Maw et al,10 and Quinn et al.11

In the past, most studies have been historical reviews containing limited information on the wound-healing properties of cyanoacrylate. More recently, prospective
PATIENTS, MATERIALS, AND METHODS

STUDY DESIGN

The null hypothesis was that the experimental (octyl-2-cyanoacrylate) closure was no different from the control closure (standard sutures). A bilateral upper blepharoplasty model was used to compare octyl-2-cyanoacrylate with suture closure. The study was constructed as a randomized, prospective, blinded study in patients undergoing bilateral blepharoplasty for functional or aesthetic indications. To remove confounding variables such as skin location on a given patient, skin variations between patients, and skin characteristics, each patient served as his or her own control. The skin of one upper eyelid was closed with octyl-2-cyanoacrylate, and the other with 6.0 suture. The results of the 2 closure methods were compared by observers masked to the type of closure used on each eyelid.

The 20 patients undergoing blepharoplasty gave their informed consent (40 upper eyelids were treated). Each patient underwent octyl-2-cyanoacrylate closure of one eyelid and suture closure of the other, 10 of which were fast-absorbing gut and 10 polypropylene (Prolene; Ethicon Inc, Somerville, NJ). Seven patients were women; 13, men. Ten cases were primarily functional. Seven were done in conjunction with a browlift. The study was approved by the Stanford Committee on Human Subjects in Medical Research. The side chosen for each closure method was determined by a coin toss.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

A standard upper eyelid blepharoplasty was performed on each patient by the same surgeon (D.G.). Each patient had been randomized to have either the right or left upper eyelid serve as the experimental closure with octyl-2-cyanoacrylate, and the opposite eyelid as the control with sutures. The blepharoplasties of 15 patients were closed on the octyl-2-cyanoacrylate side by using Castroviejo forceps to approximate the skin edges, dried with a cotton swab, and closed with octyl-2-cyanoacrylate. In 5 patients, 3 to 4 sutures were used as handles to facilitate apposition and eversion of the edges at the time of glue application. Glue was applied with the Dermabond applicator in 12 patients (Figure 1) and with a siliconized tuberculin syringe with a 27-gauge needle in 8 patients (Figure 2).

OUTCOME MEASUREMENTS

Closure was timed by the circulating nurse for each closure technique. The quality of each closure was assessed immediately by the surgeon (D.G.) for eversion, regularity, approximation, and any difficulties in attaining closure. Wounds were then evaluated by the patient and physician (D.G.) in the office on the first postoperative day, and at 1, 2, and 4 weeks after surgery. At each of these time points, 35-mm color slide close-up photographs were taken, with the patients’ eyelids open and closed. The photographs were shown to 5 observers blinded to the technique of closure (3 facial plastic surgeons, 1 otolaryngologist, and 1 senior otolaryngology resident). The observers evaluated wound quality using a visual analog scale of 1 to 10 (10 representing the ideal blepharoplasty wound closure) and the modified Holland scale described by Toriumi et al. A 2-tailed t test was used to compare the average time for closure and differences in the quality of the wounds.

No dehiscences, infections, allergic reactions, or any other major wound closure complications occurred with either technique. No inflammatory reactions occurred with...
the octyl-2-cyanoacrylate adhesive. The only inflammatory reactions occurred with fast-absorbing gut suture. These were minimal and resolved on absorption or removal of the sutures. Two octyl-2-cyanoacrylate sites developed small (<4 mm) areas of minimally delayed healing where small amounts of glue infiltrated between the skin edges and acted as a barrier to healing. This only occurred early in the study to patients on whom the Dermabond applicator was used.

Glue closure time averaged 2 minutes 15 seconds, compared with 6 minutes for meticulous-running, fast-absorbing gut suture closure over 3 to 4 interrupted sutures. When sutures were not used as handles, and forceps alone were used to approximate the skin edges, the time for glue closure ranged from 6.5 minutes to 8 minutes with an average of 7 minutes. A “learning” curve was evident in this progression, with slower times earlier in the process. When performed with the chief resident as assistant, the procedure averaged 6.5 minutes, approximately the same time as the meticulous-suture closure. The Dermabond applicator was found to be usuable for the eyelid closures, but the siliconized tuberculin syringe was far easier to use, more precise, and faster. No premature polymerization occurred in the siliconized syringe or needle (Wallace K. Dyer II, MD, personal communication) (Figure 3).

Ethicon Inc recommends the use of 3 coats of octyl-2-cyanoacrylate adhesive for skin closure. We found this to produce a very strong closure and a splinting effect. However, no difference in success rate occurred when 2 thin coats of octyl-2-cyanoacrylate were applied with a syringe. The amount could not be controlled as precisely with the Dermabond applicator as with the syringe; glue applied with the applicator leached into the wound in 3 cases. In 1 case, the material was completely removed. In the other 2, it resulted in small areas of delayed healing. No such problems occurred when the syringe was used as an applicator.

Immediate results demonstrated excellent skin edge approximation in all eyelids studied (N = 40), with greater eversion in the sutured eyelids but a complete lack of suture-induced “rippling” and deformation in the glued eyelids. This led to a smoother edge pattern in the glue group, which was recognizable by observers up to 1 month after surgery. Immediately after surgery, the sutured eyelids had a crease created by the sutures, and the glued eyelids displayed a “splinting” effect leading to some relative flattening. This was directly related to the amount
of glue used; more splinting occurred with the Derma-
bond applicator, and less with the syringe. Less imme-
diate eversion was attained with the glue, but this did not
seem clinically significant, since both closures were per-
formed in the supratarsal crease. Over time, all patients
re-formed the supratarsal crease, which is by definition
inverted.

The mean duration of glue fixation averaged 6 days
(range, 5-8 days); this was similar to the longevity of the
fast-absorbing gut suture. In 2 patients with extremely
dry skin, the remnants of the glue had to be peeled off.
In all others, it spontaneously shed.

The progression of healing is depicted in Figure 3
and Figure 4. At 1 week after surgery (Figure 3, A), mini-
mal differences are seen between the 2 sides, with a flat-
ter closure on the glue side (right) and minimal rippling
on the suture side (left). By 2 weeks after surgery, these
characteristics are no longer visible (Figure 3, B). By the
fourth postoperative week, both sides are identical. The
same trends are seen in the other patients.

The 5 blinded observers using the visual analog scale
to rate the 40 treated eyelids did not find any statisti-
cally significant difference between the wound quality
in the experimental and control groups (P > .05, t test).
Likewise, the modified Hollanders scale findings showed
no differential score between the 2 closure techniques.
However, it did pick up the rippling effect induced by
suture closure as a “contour irregularity” in 4 patients
and small areas of incomplete glue closure (from glue in-
filating between the skin edges) on 2 patients at week
1. These incomplete closures were 2 mm in one patient
and 4 mm in another, and resolved without further treat-
ment. One of these patients had a thickening at 2 weeks
at this site, which resolved spontaneously 3 weeks after
surgery. By 1 month, the 2 sides were indistinguishable
in most cases. No difference in wound healing was seen
in the subgroup of patients who also had a browlift.

Patient satisfaction was assessed through inter-
views on each follow-up visit. Thirteen of the patients
preferred the glue, finding it more comfortable, without
a pulling sensation when opening and closing the eye-
lids, and they appreciated the lack of suture removal.
Uniformly, these patients reported a smoother appearance
to the wound and noted a subtle rippling effect on the
sutured side. These patients said they would have liked
to have glue used bilaterally. Subjectively, most of the
patients who liked the glue best tended to be younger
women. These patients had better eyelid skin quality than
the older men, greater symmetry of the eyelids, and no
history of eyelid injury. Older men with good to fair skin
quality also preferred the glue. Older men with deep retic-
tulated furrows in the eyelids and very dry skin expe-
rienced more stiffness and less comfort with the glue. They
also required removal of glue remnants, which they found
as uncomfortable as the suture removal. Patients treated
with 3 coats of octyl-2-cyanoacrylate were less comfortable
than those treated with lesser amounts.

The present study, using an upper eyelid blepharo-
plasty model, confirms that wound-healing results with
octyl-2-cyanoacrylate are equivalent to those obtained with
sutures, thus supporting the null hypothesis that no heal-
ing differences exist between the 2 closure methods.
Wound-healing analysis by observers blinded to the ma-
terial used for skin closure shows no statistical differ-
ence between the 2 methods of closure. Since excellent
healing is typical for blepharoplasty incisions, we fo-
cused on early healing (1 day to 1 month) to find differ-
ences between the 2 closure modalities. Photographs at
1 month showed little difference in wound quality be-
tween the 2 sides. Earlier photographs, at 1 and 2 weeks,
showed different characteristics of the wounds, eg, a subtle
rippling from the running polypropylene or fast-
absorbing gut suture vs a flattening of the eyelid from
the splintering effect of the glue, as well as residual glue in
place. The observed minimal inflammation at the suture
line in the first week may correlate with the find-
ings of Howell et al of higher wound bacterial counts in
suture-closed wounds than in those closed with octyl-
2-cyanoacrylate adhesive. However, none of these dif-
fering characteristics led to differences in wound-
healing quality overall between the glue and sutures. Once
the early healing process was completed, and these tell-
tale signs were resolved, the techniques were indistin-
guishable from each other.

Two techniques of using the octyl-2-cyanoacrylate
closure were assessed. Using 3 to 4 sutures as handles to
hold the edges together and everted was the fastest tech-
nique, requiring only 2.25 minutes for glue application,
about a third of the time for our standardized running-
suture closure. Use of Castroviejo forceps to appose and
evert the skin was slower (7-8 minutes), highly depen-
dent on the skills of the assistant, and involved a learn-
ing curve.

The use of cyanoacrylate glues in the closure of up-
per eyelids is inherently more challenging than in lower
eyelids. Upper eyelids are far more mobile and are closed
under more tension. The skin edges must be actively held
together during glue closure. By contrast, lower eyelid
subciliary incisions can be placed in apposition and glued
without the use of forceps. Thus, there is a higher risk
of glue leaching between the flaps in upper blepharo-
plasty than in lower blepharoplasty, and the glue must

Figure 4. Excellent healing in a 55-year-old woman, despite higher closure
tensions resulting from a concurrent browlift. The photograph was taken 3
weeks after surgery with the eyelids closed to show the incisional sites.
Cyanoacrylate glue was used on the left and polypropylene suture on the
right eye, respectively.
resist the higher forces of eyelid opening, closure, and brow elevation.

Two techniques of glue application were assessed: the Dermabond applicator and the siliconized insulin syringe. The Dermabond applicator is approximately 5 mm in diameter, too wide for easy use in blepharoplasty, although it was successfully used in 16 of our cases. Our preferred method is a siliconized tuberculin syringe with a 1⁄2-in, 27-gauge needle for pinpoint application of adhesive. This method was faster, more precise, and never led to leaching of adhesive that then needed to be removed with a swab.

**CONCLUSIONS**

- Octyl-2-cyanoacrylate glue is an easily applied, excellent alternative to suture closure, producing equivalent quality of closure at all time points and no distinguishable difference in appearance 4 weeks after surgery.
- Wound healing characteristics of blepharoplasty incisions closed with octyl-2-cyanoacrylate were excellent, without any inflammatory complications or delay of healing. Octyl-2-cyanoacrylate produced less inflammation than fast-absorbing gut sutures.
- Octyl-2-cyanoacrylate withstood the forces of closure in blepharoplasty without dehiscence and in the absence of sutures, even with the added forces imparted by concurrent browlift.
- In selected cases, octyl-2-cyanoacrylate can produce greater comfort and a smoother initial closure, free from the deformation produced by a running suture.
- Our recommended technique for the use of glue in upper eyelid blepharoplasty involves the placement of 3 absorbable sutures as handles, followed by edge apposition and octyl-2-cyanoacrylate application with a siliconized tuberculin syringe. This technique is rapid, fairly easy, and produces excellent aesthetic results.
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