Split Hump Technique for Reduction of the Overprojected Nasal Dorsum

A Statistical Analysis on Subjective Body Image in Relation to Nasal Appearance and Nasal Patency in 97 Patients Undergoing Aesthetic Rhinoplasty
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Objectives: To describe the split hump technique (SHT) and to examine its effectiveness for correction of an overprojected nasal dorsum in patients undergoing aesthetic rhinoplasty.

Methods: This prospective study included 97 patients. Objective assessment was performed using a short, practical questionnaire. Investigation focused on nasal patency and the patient perception of body image in relation to nasal appearance using 5-point Likert scale questions and visual analog scales.

Results: Use of the SHT resulted in a significant improvement in nasal patency and aesthetic nasal perception. Sum functional question scores decreased from 9.154 to 6.351 and aesthetic question scores from 13.897 to 6.825 ($P < .001$ for both). Mean aesthetic visual analog scale scores improved in all patients, from 3.346 to 7.782 ($P < .001$). Graphic illustration of this improvement revealed a gaussian curve of normal distribution around a mean (SD) improvement of 4.48 (1.93).

Conclusions: Traditional en bloc humpectomy maneuvers are frequently combined with spreader graft use to avoid postoperative inferomedial repositioning of the upper lateral cartilages and inverted-V deformity. The SHT for correction of the overprojected dorsum creates a paradigm change in this patient group. The transverse segments of the upper lateral cartilages are saved and repositioned instead of being resected as a part of an en bloc osseocartilaginous composite hump resection in a transverse plane. Several modifications of the SHT enable the surgeon to deproject the nose while keeping sufficient strength in the keystone area and augmenting dorsal width. Using statistical analysis of subjective patient data, we could prove a broad acceptance and appreciation for the SHT.


Most white and Mediterranean patients who undergo aesthetic rhinoplasty report wanting to correct a noticeable hump in profile view. This makes adequate reduction of a prominent dorsum a major determinant of success in these patients. Traditional hump reduction maneuvers are based on en bloc resection in a transverse plane, removing the dorsal hump as an osseocartilaginous composite (Figure 1). This method includes excision of the transverse component of the upper lateral cartilages (ULCs), which is often associated with a delicate impairment of the stability in the keystone area (K-area). Destabilization of the K-area triggers inferomedial repositioning of the ULCs, resulting in a conspicuous inverted-V deformity (Figure 2). The appearance of this deformity can be aggravated by overresection of the osseocartilaginous dorsum, especially in patients with short nasal bones (Figure 3 and Figure 4).

To avoid the complications associated with inclining ULCs after traditional humpectomy, surgeons have established the importance of using spreader grafts or their variations since the 1980s. Submucosal placement of individually fashioned strips of cartilage along the anterior border of the septal cartilage bridges the gap of the open roof, thereby maintaining the horizontal relationship between the septum and the ULCs. Subsequently, inferomedial repositioning of the ULCs can effectively be avoided, and the function of the internal valve can be preserved.

The preventive benefit of using spreader grafts or their variations is not the only
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A concept that ensures avoidance of inverted-V deformity. A more logical alternative can be projected from the following question: Why resect and replace when you can preserve original structures? A promising alternative for reduction of an overprojected nasal dorsum would, therefore, be a corrective approach in 2 planes instead of a transversely positioned en bloc resection. We performed paraseptal vertical incisions to separate the entire ULC from the septum. This exposed a narrow septum, which was deprojected in a transverse plane by taking off a strip of cartilage along its anterior border (Figure 5). By using this specific technique, the transverse components of both ULCs could remain fully intact (Figure 6). If indicated, nasal dorsal width was then either reduced by resecting vertically positioned strips of the ULCs or further augmented with well-known, previously mentioned grafting modalities. Various researchers already described this technique using different terms.2,3,7,8 We refer to this technique as the split hump technique (SHT), a term that was introduced by Daniel.9

We performed the SHT in 97 patients with an overprojected nasal dorsum. In this article, we describe our philosophy of the SHT and report on its aesthetic and

Figure 1. Intraoperative view of a 3-layer resection of the nasal dorsum as an osseocartilaginous composite using a No. 10 blade to lower the dorsum. The resection was performed as an adjuvant procedure in a patient with skin cancer, but this intraoperative view permits clear visualization of a traditionally performed en bloc humpectomy maneuver, which is also schematically visualized in Figure 2.

Figure 2. A, Schematic illustration of the transverse en bloc humpectomy maneuver using a No. 10 blade (the plane of dissection is marked by a green double rule). The transverse components of the upper lateral cartilages (ULCs) are completely removed, leading to an open roof deformity. B, This results in a loss of structural support on both sides of the septum. Destabilization of the junction between the ULCs and the septum leads to an inferomedial repositioning of the cartilaginous complex, which leads to the distinct inverted-V shade formation. The en bloc resection technique leads to a reduction in dorsal height and to an unpredictable reduction in dorsal width.

Figure 3. Clinical examples of what can go wrong when a traditional en bloc humpectomy maneuver is performed. A, The nasal middle third reveals a light reflex in the midline, which is the prominent caudal margin of the bony dorsum. The interrupted course of shadow on the lateral aspects of the nose break the continuity of the dorsal aesthetic lines. Both irregularities indicate the inferomedial repositioning of the cartilaginous complex, which leads to the distinct inverted-V shade formation. B, Short nasal bones and weak cartilage of the upper lateral cartilages (ULCs) aggravate the result. Note the visible border of the dorsal septum with bilaterally collapsed ULCs in the keystone area positioned underneath the level of the septum (as indicated in Figure 2). The shade formation accentuates the minimal dorsal width.

Figure 4. Clinical examples of highly conspicuous inverted-V deformities after traditional en bloc hump resections (second opinions not included in this study). Both patients had short nasal bones. In both cases, the inverted-V deformity is aggravated by overresection and the lack of adequate preventive maneuvers (eg, spreader grafts). Note the extensive makeup of the eyes, by which both patients try to distract the observer’s attention from their nose. A, The distinct change between light and shadow accentuates the difference in height between the bony vault and the collapsed cartilaginous complex. B, One step worse: palpable and visible open roof deformity.
METHODS

Ninety-seven patients who underwent aesthetic rhinoplasty between January 1, 2007, and December 31, 2011, were included in the study. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients had to pay for the surgery, indicating that the reason for surgery was mainly aesthetic; (2) a significant dorsal hump had to be removed during surgery by means of the SHT; and (3) complete follow-up with functional and aesthetic feedback preoperatively and postoperatively had to be collected. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients. All the surgical procedures were performed by one of us (P.J.F.M.L.).

For subjective outcome assessment, we used a short and practical-designed questionnaire at the outpatient department before and after surgery. This questionnaire, based on the earlier validated questionnaires of Stewart et al.10 and Alsarraf et al.11 was introduced in a previous article. The questionnaire was subdivided into 2 fields of interest: functional and aesthetic. The functional subject area investigated patient perception of nasal patency. The aesthetic survey items analyzed perception of body image in relation to nasal appearance. Patients were asked to answer 5 questions, scored on a 5-point Likert scale, for both fields of interest (Table 1). The minimum score for each question was 1 point, and the maximum score was 5 points. The single score of every question, and the sum scores of the questions in both fields of interest, were then analyzed and compared before and after surgery. Furthermore, visual analog scales (VASs) were used before and after surgery to investigate nasal patency for each side separately (functional score: 0=very bad to 10=very good) and nasal appearance (aesthetics score: 0=very ugly to 10=very nice). For statistical analysis, we applied a t test for paired data using a commercially available software program (SPSS, version 16.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc).

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

The SHT for reduction of the overprojected nasal dorsum can be performed using either a closed or endonasal approach. In the Department of Otolaryngology/Head and Neck Surgery, Center for Facial Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Diakonessen Hospital Zeist, we tend to use an open approach because this provides wide exposure of the dorsum, thereby allowing additional diagnosis and increasing surgical control.

STEP 1:
PREPARATION

1. Retraction of the skin soft-tissue envelope in the avascular supraperichondrial plane.

2. Meticulous elevation of the mucoperichondrium on both sides of the anterior septum to avoid any traumatization to the mucosal inner lining, which could lead to postoperative cicatrical web formation or narrowing of the internal nasal valve.

3. A vertically positioned No. 15 blade is inserted underneath the cartilaginous vault, carefully avoiding traumatization of the mucoperichondrial flaps in this area (Figure 6).

4. The entire ULCs are bilaterally separated from the septum using paraseptal vertical incisions.

5. Dorsal height is reduced in a transverse plane using straight scissors or a No. 15 blade.

6. Judicious reduction of the bony dorsum is done using a rasp rather than an osteotome. Subsequently, the underlying cartilage is resected or repositioned in a controlled manner.
5. For correction of nasal width, each ULC can be addressed separately.

6. The ULCs are aligned adjacent to the lowered anterior border of the septum.

7. Anchorage is performed using at least two 5-0 polydioxanone mattress sutures running through the ULCs and the septum. The sutures need to be placed symmetrically to avoid irregular vectors of pull leading to aesthetic or functional confinement.

**STEP 3: ADDITIONAL MANEUVERS**

In instances of an asymmetrical nose, inadequate dorsal width, or functional impairment of the midvault, the SHT can be combined with additional grafting techniques. The options include unilateral or bilateral application of additional spreader grafts, implementation of autospreaders (formed by turning in the ULCs before suture fixation), or a combination (**Figure 7** and **Figure 8**). Improvement of nasal patency was additionally addressed by septoplasty or lateralization of the inferior turbinates, when indicated.

This study included 81 women and 16 men aged 17 to 66 years (mean age, 34.3 years; male to female ratio, 1 to 5.1). All the patients showed an overprojected nasal dorsum, which was in all cases reduced using the SHT. Three patients had previously undergone rhinoplasty in another hospital. Minimum follow-up was 1 year.

Additional dorsum maneuvers, as described in the “Surgical Technique” section, were introduced in 51 rhinoplasties. Spreader grafts were used in 45 operations (27 unilateral and 18 bilateral). Autospreader grafts were constructed in 6 patients, with unilateral autospreader application in only 1 patient. A combination of spreader grafts and autospreaders was performed in 2 procedures.

Comparison of the preoperative and postoperative scores collected from the 5 functional and 5 aesthetic Likert scale questions showed a highly significant improvement in every single question (Table 1). No score remained constant or worsened after performance of the SHT (*Table 2*). Patient subjective evaluation of nasal patency improved significantly in all questioned aspects. The sum score of all

---

**Table 1. Likert Scale Questions<sup>a</sup> Used in the Short, Practical-Questionnaire to Compare Patient Preoperative and Postoperative Evaluations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1: Do you feel a swelling inside in your nose?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2: Do you feel a nasal blockage or obstruction?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3: Do you have difficulties with nasal breathing?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4: Do you have difficulties with sleeping?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5: Do you have problems getting enough air through your nose during physical exercise?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE1: Are you concerned about the appearance of your nose?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE2: Does this concern bother you often?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE3: Does this concern affect your daily life (eg, your work)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE4: Does this concern affect your relationship with others?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE5: Do you feel stressed by the appearance of your nose?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Five functional questions examine patient nasal patency (F1-F5), and 5 aesthetic questions investigate the subjective perception of body image in relation to nasal appearance (AE1-AE5).
functional questions decreased from 9.154 to 6.351 ($P < .001$). The postoperative perception of body image in relation to nasal appearance improved even stronger, with a decrease in the sum score of the aesthetics questions from 13.897 to 6.825 ($P < .001$). Analysis of the subjective evaluation of nasal passage for each side using VASs revealed a highly significant increase on both sides (left: from 6.994 to 8.063, $P < .001$; right: from 7.093 to 8.118, $P < .001$). The aesthetic VAS in all the patients improved from 3.346 to 7.782 ($P < .001$) (Table 2). The graphic illustration of this amendment revealed a gaussian curve of normal distribution with a mean (SD) of 4.48 (1.93) (Figure 9).

**COMMENT**

The nasal dorsum is composed of a unified osseocartilaginous composite, which can be subdivided into a cranially positioned bony vault and a caudal cartilaginous vault, which extends under the bony segment. In most cases, the hump is far more cartilaginous than bony. The cartilaginous complex is composed of the septum and the ULCs. This complex is not a septum with bilaterally juxtaposed ULCs but a single anatomical entity from a common embryologic origin.9,12 The mechanical characteristics of the cartilaginous complex mainly depend on the strength and thickness of the cartilage, which can be diverse on the basis of sex, age, ethnicity, and previous trauma (iatrogenic or accidental). The nasal bones, the bony septum, and the cartilaginous complex together establish the K-area. The term *keystone* is derived from roman arch architecture describing the topmost central stone, which exclusively ensures stability to the entire formation. Likewise, the complete K-area in the nose is of critical importance for maintaining the structural stability of the nasal dorsum.

Taking down the osseocartilaginous composite includes resection of the K-area. The consequence is collapse of the adjacent structures, leading to inferomedial repositioning of the remaining ULCs (Figure 1). As the
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indeclining lateral components of the ULCs are of insufficient length for bridging the gap bilaterally to the dorsal septum, this leads on the outside to a conspicuous inverted-V deformity (Figure 2). This deformity is based on a distinct formation of shadow, which is caused by a difference in height between the caudal margin of the bony dorsum and the lowered inferomedially malpositioned cartilaginous components of the cartilaginous dorsum (Figure 3). Furthermore, en bloc hump removal can easily result in overresection of the bony vault, generating an open roof. Such an extensive reduction makes infrastructures of the bony segments an additional prerequisite for compensation, which further weakens the complete K-area (Figure 4). Especially patients with short nasal bones and long weak ULCs have no means of compensating for the risk of a conspicuous inverted-V deformity after traditional rhinoplasty.

The best treatment strategy for correction of the overprojected dorsum is avoidance. In more specific terms, this means avoidance of an open roof, avoidance of extensive destabilization of the K-area, and, subsequently, avoidance of an inverted-V deformity. The concept of avoidance resulted in widespread application of diverse preventive techniques, which were combined with traditional hump removal on a regular basis. Surgeons applied spreader grafts or their variations (eg, autospreaders) as an integral part of the en bloc hump reduction for the past 3 decades. These techniques have proved to be helpful in aesthetic corrections of the middle third of the nose and in reconstruction of the internal valve after composite humpectomy.

Could there be another manner to reduce the dorsum and avoid the complication of a weakened K-area? A combination of traumatizing resection of the dorsum with the additional imperative of structural grafts might not be the best solution for the challenge of correcting an overprojected dorsum. An alternative concept of avoidance is based on preservation of the K-area anatomy. This implies only a judicious reduction of the dorsal height and optional modifications of the dorsal width in a step-by-step procedure. Approaching an overprojected dorsum by initially saving the entire anatomy instead of resecting the osseocartilaginous composite is a paradigm change. Analogous to nasal tip surgery, the motto for dorsal surgery should be to “preserve and conserve” instead of “resect and regret.” A key benefit of the SHT lies in the fact that no bridges are burned. Because no cartilage is initially excised, the stability of the K-area is far less compromised. Reduction of the bony dorsum using a rasp rather than an osteotome avoids an open roof deformity and abundant trauma to the tissue. Rasp ing exposes the underlying cartilaginous hump that extends underneath the bone and can then be addressed by precise separation from the septum. Such a stepwise approach allows a high level of precision and controlled preservation of the transverse components of the ULCs, avoiding bilateral gap formation and subsequent inferomedial repositioning of the ULCs in the case of a preexisting adequate width, reduction of dorsal height is the only procedure necessary, with no modification of the ULCs (Figure 8A and Figure 10). In addition to a measured reduction in dorsal width, the broad spectrum of varia-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Preoperative Scores</th>
<th>Postoperative Scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE1</td>
<td>3.464</td>
<td>1.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE2</td>
<td>3.268</td>
<td>1.557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE3</td>
<td>2.536</td>
<td>1.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE4</td>
<td>2.247</td>
<td>1.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE5</td>
<td>2.392</td>
<td>1.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>13.897</td>
<td>6.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-Point VAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function of left side</td>
<td>6.994</td>
<td>8.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function of right side</td>
<td>7.093</td>
<td>8.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>3.346</td>
<td>7.782</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: VAS, visual analog scale. a P < .001 for all. Five functional questions investigated patient perception of nasal patency (F1-F5). Five aesthetic questions analyzed patient perception of body image in relation to nasal appearance (AE1-AE5). The possible score range for each question was 1 to 5. Statistical analysis was performed on each single question and on the summary scores of each field of interest.
of the SHT (Figure 8B-E), using additional maneuvers, allows high precision and control for augmentation of dorsal width. Application of autospreaders or spreader grafts allows incremental control of dorsal width (Figure 8B-D and Figure 11). Also, asymmetries can be addressed properly as a further variation of the SHT (Figure 8E).

In conclusion, preservation of the ULCs extends the surgeon's options. The SHT is an effective method to avoid inverted-V deformity, and it entails the option to use pre-existing tissue for augmentation and strengthening of dorsal width and K-area. The high precision in correction of the dorsal width prevents inferomedial repositioning of the ULCs. Consequently, on the visible outside of the nose, the transition of light and shadow remains smooth in the K-area, whereas the dorsal aesthetic lines stay uninterrupted. Furthermore, by preserving the middle vault anatomy, including protection of an intact mucoperichondrial lining, and by adding additional structural grafts to the valve area, there is less risk of postoperative pinching and a high chance of an increase in nasal breathing after SHT.

We consider the SHT to be a safe and powerful approach offering multiple solutions for reduction of an overprojected dorsum. To substantiate this philosophy, we confronted patients with the subjective outcome assessment (using a short, practical-designed questionnaire) to compare patient estimations preoperatively and postoperatively. All questions regarding nasal patency or perception of body image in relation to nasal appearance improved significantly after the SHT (P < .001). The graphics in Figure 9 summarize the perioperative improvement in the aesthetic VAS score. The graph highlights patients' broad acceptance and appreciation of the cosmetic results, as we could prove by means of statistics. The fact that the curve depicts the shape of a normal distribution around a mean of 4.48 points of improvement on a scale of 10 indicates a realistic outcome and strengthens the findings. We believe that the SHT could be of benefit to rhinoplasty surgeons who deal with the overprojected nasal dorsum.

The adequate reduction of a prominent dorsum is a major determinant for success in most patients who undergo aesthetic rhinoplasty. Traditional en bloc humpec-
tomy maneuvers bear a high risk destabilizing the K-area region. To avoid subsequent inferomedial repositioning of the ULCs and an inverted-V deformity, additional structural grafts (eg, spreader grafts) have gradually become routine since the 1980s.

The SHT is based on preservation of the entire ULCs during deprojection of the nasal dorsum. Dorsal height and dorsal width are addressed separately, allowing a high level of precision and control. Additional combination with established structural maneuvers broadens the surgeon’s options for augmenting and strengthening dorsal width and the K-area. Statistical analysis of subjective patient data strengthened our belief that the SHT is a safe and powerful method that provides adequate aesthetic and functional results. Equivalent to nasal tip surgery, “preserve and conserve” instead of “resect and regret” should lead to a paradigm change in nasal dorsum surgery in a larger group of rhinoplasty surgeons.
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