Selective Creation of Thermal Injury Zones in the Superficial Musculoaponeurotic System Using Intense Ultrasound Therapy

A New Target for Noninvasive Facial Rejuvenation

W. Matthew White, MD; Inder Raj S. Makin, MD, PhD; Peter G. Barthe, PhD; Michael H. Slayton, PhD; Richard E. Gliklich, MD

Objectives: To transcutaneously deliver intense ultrasound (IUS) energy to target the facial superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS), to produce discrete thermal injury zones (TIZs) in the SMAS, and to demonstrate the relative sparing of adjacent nontargeted layers superficial and deep to the SMAS layer.

Methods: In 6 unfixed human cadaveric specimens, the SMAS layer was visualized and targeted using the ultrasound imaging component of the IUS device. Using 2 IUS handpieces, 202 exposure lines were delivered bilaterally in multiple facial regions by varying combinations of power and exposure time (0.5-8.0 J). Tissue was then excised and examined grossly and histologically for evidence of thermal injury using nitroblue tetrazolium chloride viability stain.

Results: Reproducible TIZs were produced selectively in the SMAS at depths of up to 7.8 mm, and sparing of surrounding tissue including the epidermis. Higher energy settings and high-density exposure line pattern produced a greater degree of tissue shrinkage.

Conclusions: In human cadaveric facial tissue, IUS can noninvasively target and selectively produce TIZs of reproducible location, size, and geometry in the SMAS layer. The ability to produce focused thermal collagen denaturation in the SMAS to induce shrinkage and tissue tightening has not been previously reported and has significant implications for aesthetic facial rejuvenation.
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The superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) is a continuous fibrous network that envelopes the muscles of facial expression and extends superficially to connect with the dermis of the skin.1,2 The function of the SMAS is to transmit the activity of the facial mimetic musculature to the facial skin to coordinate facial expression. The SMAS is composed of collagen and elastic fibers in similar proportions to the skin dermis.3,4 The SMAS and facial skin exhibit viscoelastic properties, but it has been demonstrated that the SMAS has much greater holding properties and more delayed stress relaxation after a surgical “lifting” procedure.3

Several nonsurgical modalities have been developed in an effort to treat facial rhytids (peels, microdermabrasion, and lasers).6,7 These modalities have primarily focused on treating the superficial layers of the skin owing to limitations in penetration depth. One of the most effective treatments for facial rhytids has been ablative skin resurfacing with carbon dioxide or erbium lasers, which creates a sublethal thermal injury in the skin, causing removal of the epidermis and contraction and remodeling of the dermis. Although ablative skin resurfacing has proved efficacious in the treatment of superficial rhytids, its use has been limited by the adverse effects of treating the superficial skin, including prolonged erythema and possible permanent pigmentary changes. For this reason, nonablative skin resurfacing devices (intense pulsed light, light-emitting diode, radiofrequency [RF], Nd:YAG, and pulsed dye lasers) have been designed in an effort to reduce some of the unwanted adverse effects of ablative skin resurfacing.8 Although these nonablative skin resurfacing modalities have fewer adverse effects than ablative skin resurfacing, the efficacy is less than desirable. Furthermore, neither modality is designed to specifically address the SMAS layer.

Intense ultrasound (IUS) is an energy modality that can propagate through tissue up to several millimeters. However, for skin tissue when the beam is directed in
a tight focus at a given depth, thermal coagulative necrosis occurs in that local volume of tissue, leaving the superficial layers unaffected.9-11 Ultrasound waves induce a vibration in the composite molecules of a given tissue during propagation, and the thermoviscous losses in the medium lead to tissue heating. It has been well established in the literature that IUS fields transcutaneously directed into whole-organ soft tissue can produce coagulative necrosis, resulting primarily from thermal mechanisms.9,10 During the past decade, the clinical use of focused IUS has been investigated as a noninvasive surgical tool to treat whole-organ tumors, such as liver, breast, and uterus.

Working in conjunction with the manufacturer (Ulthera Inc, Mesa, Ariz), a novel IUS approach has been developed specifically for treating facial soft tissues and targeting the SMAS. The prototype focuses energy in tissue to produce a 25-mm line of discrete lesions spaced 0.5 to 5.0 mm apart (Figure 1). Furthermore, imaging and targeted energy exposure can be accomplished using the same handpiece. Preclinical studies conducted by our group11 using porcine skin, a model with skin structure similar to humans, have demonstrated that the IUS system reliably creates small, well-defined, controllable thermal injury zones (TIZs) in dermal or subdermal soft tissues.

The ability to achieve noninvasive thermal injury and reproducible precise and selective collagen denaturation in the SMAS has not been previously reported, to our knowledge. The potential of this approach to cause selective SMAS contraction might have important implications for treatment of the aging face. We postulate that this device is capable of delivering energy to the deep soft tissue layers of the face, such as the subdermal connective tissues (the SMAS). The objectives of this study are, therefore, to deliver IUS energy to targeted areas of the subcutaneous facial tissue, in particular, the SMAS; to produce discrete lesions from thermal injury within the SMAS; and to demonstrate the relative sparing of adjacent nontargeted layers, such as the epidermis and deeper tissues.

**METHODS**

Approval for this experiment was obtained from the Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary institutional review board. Six nonfixed, frozen, whole cadaveric head specimens were obtained from a tissue bank supplier (International Biological Inc, Gross Pointe, Mich). The cadavers consisted of 2 males and 4 females aged 49 to 72 years. None of the specimens had evidence of previous facial surgical procedures. The specimens were stored in a freezer and could be identified by a serial number tag.

**IUS SYSTEM**

The IUS system (Ulthera Inc) is a device designed to target and deliver focused IUS in human tissue. The IUS handpiece contains a transducer that has 2 functioning modes: imaging (which is used to image the region of interest before the therapeutic ultrasound exposures) and treatment (which is the mode that delivers a series of higher-energy ultrasound exposures). In treatment mode, the transducer delivers a series of precise ultrasound pulses along a linear path. The handpiece is designed to mechanically slide in a straight line to deliver a series of ultrasound exposures. For each series of exposures, the following source conditions can be varied: power output, exposure time, length of exposure line, distance between exposure zones, and time delay after each exposure. In this manner, thermal injury can be produced in selective zones in a straight line at a given depth in tissue (a 25-mm line of discrete lesions spaced 0.5-5.0 mm apart).

Penetration depth of energy is primarily affected by ultrasound source frequency. The preclinical experiments11 in ex vivo porcine tissues have shown that handpieces with higher ultrasound frequency combined with a shallower focal depth in tissue produce lesions more superficial in tissue, whereas lower-frequency handpieces tend to penetrate deeper in tissues. The results of this study are from handpieces operating at 7.5 and 4.4 MHz, with the focal depth in tissue for each handpiece being 4.5 mm.

**IUS EXPOSURE PROCEDURE**

Experiments were conducted with the cadaveric specimens at room temperature (20°C) after they had been thawed overnight in a water bath. Multiple facial areas were selected bilaterally: cheek, preauricular area, temple, frontal area, and neck. In the planned treatment area, the skin was tattooed (India ink) to the level of the SMAS using a preconstructed grid (Figure 2A). Each microtattoo was placed 10 mm apart in horizontal and vertical lines to create a permanent grid over the proposed treatment area.

Facial areas of treatment were then selected. A range of source conditions was selected and planned for each area. Before each treatment, ultrasound imaging was performed to identify the target tissues. Ultrasound imaging was performed on each planned treatment area, and still images were captured and stored. The superficial and deep skin layers, fat, SMAS, parotid fascia, and parotid gland were discernible during the ultrasound imaging mode.

All the treatments were performed perpendicular to the relaxed skin tension lines of the cadaveric face. The IUS exposure lines were delivered using 2 methods: (1) up to 7 horizontal rows of exposures spaced 10 mm apart at varying energy levels or (2) in a “high-density line” pattern achieved by placing multiple parallel exposure lines (n=15-20) at a fixed energy level 2 to 3 mm apart. Immediately after IUS exposure lines were delivered, the handpiece was left in place, and the axis of...
the exposure line delivered was marked using correction fluid
(Wite-Out; Bic Corp, Milford, Conn) (Figure 2B).

After all IUS exposures for a given cadaveric specimen had
been completed, each treated facial region was excised en bloc
up to the subperiosteal level. The tissue bloc was then placed
on an acrylic glass (Plexiglas; Arkema Group, Philadelphia, Pa)
tray and kept in a −15°C freezer for approximately 2 hours. Us-
ing a surgical blade, fine 1-mm-thick sections were cut per-
pendicular to the IUS exposure lines. These grossly sectioned
thin strips of tissues were then placed in nitroblue tetrazolium
chloride (NBTC) stain overnight for viability staining.11,12 The
remaining exposed tissue was embedded and submitted for fro-
zen-section histologic processing and staining.

A digital single-lens reflex camera (Nikon D-70; Nikon USA,
Melville, NY) was used for high-resolution photographs of IUS-
exposed areas. Standardized conditions for distance and light-
ing were used for photography. Photographs were taken of the
left and right side profiles of the face before and after IUS ex-
posure (Figure 2). Digital photographs were also taken of the
gross tissue sections after they had been stained with NBTC.

**HISTOLOGIC ANALYSIS**

Unfixed cadaveric tissue was used to more readily approxi-
mate human facial skin and to allow for evaluation in collagen
by the NBTC viability stain.12 When frozen tissue sections are
stained, the reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (a re-
dox indicator) by nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH)
diaphorase produces an intense blue cytoplasmic pigment. The
activity of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide diaphorase has
been shown to decrease immediately on cell death. Therefore,
blue staining of cells on frozen sections using NBTC confirms
viability, and the absence of blue staining is indicative of an
area of coagulative necrosis.13 We also performed routine he-
matoxylin-eosin regressive staining, which has also been used
previously to demonstrate thermal denaturation of collagen for
laser hair removal and ablative resurfacing.14 Both of these stain-
ing methods allow for accurate determination of the location
and extent of the TIZs.

**IMAGE ANALYSIS**

Using image processing software (NIH ImageJ, available at http:
/rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/), postexposure digital photographs were
compared with pretreatment images. The length of each hori-
zontal and vertical line of the grids was then measured, re-
corded, and compared. The magnitude of thermal-induced im-
mediate contraction was calculated by subtracting the
postexposure distance from the preexposure distance, and then
this number was divided by the preexposure distance and ex-
pressed as a percentage. Thermal-induced immediate contrac-
tion was compared parallel and perpendicular to the line of treat-
ments to determine the maximum vector of collagen contraction.
Depth and dimensions of TIZs were evaluated using digital
photographs of gross tissue strips after NBTC staining.

**RESULTS**

A total of 202 IUS exposure lines (10 IUS pulses per line)
and 2020 individual IUS pulses were delivered to the 6
cadaveric heads. After each exposure line, no noticeable
surface changes were seen using either handpiece. Source
conditions were varied across a range of 0.5 to 8.0 J for
both handpieces. Viability staining (NBTC) identified le-
sions on gross strips of cadaveric tissue as a lack of blue staining and pale color of the TIZs. Frozen-section histologic analysis of the same tissues also demonstrated the TIZs by lack of blue staining and morphologic evidence of thermal-induced coagulative necrosis, such as thickening of collagen fiber bundles. Thermal injury zone locations and dimensions were observed to be related to particular source conditions, such as energy and focal depth in tissue for a particular handpiece.

QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF TIZs

Visual analysis of the digital images of grossly sectioned strips of cadaveric tissues confirmed a dose-response relationship between energy and TIZ size. In the preauricular region, energy was varied between 3.2 and 8.0 J using the 4.4-MHz handpiece, and a dose-response effect of thermal modification was observed. Discrete cigar-shaped lesions can be seen in the SMAS layer without damage to surrounding tissues above or below (Figure 3). In this figure, small TIZs are easily identified at the lowest energy level of 4.0 J (Figure 3B). As the source energy is increased to 4.8 and then 6.4 J, the lesions become larger and more elongated. Further increases in energy lead to overtreatment as energy is increased to 8.0 J (Figure 3A). In this area in Figure 3A, 2 IUS exposure lines at an energy setting of 8.0 J are placed adjacent to one another. One can easily see the thermal damage extending proximally toward the source to involve the dermis and epidermis. No gross thermal lesions were seen at energy levels below 4.0 J (additional gross tissue strips from the same location not displayed in Figure 3). However, regressive hematoxylin-eosin–stained histologic slides of areas exposed to 3.2 J of energy confirmed the well-demarcated TIZs as evidenced by deep purple staining and thickening of the collagen bundles (Figure 4).

In the forehead region, when IUS exposures were delivered using the 4.4-MHz handpiece at similar energies (2.3-6.1 J), overtreatment occurred at lower energy levels. At 3.8 J, the TIZs can be seen propagating superficially toward the dermis (Figure 5). As energy levels were increased further, the TIZs became thicker (Figure 5A). Conversely, when the energy settings were decreased, the TIZs became more slender (Figure 5B). This dose-response pattern could be observed in all of the NBTC-stained gross sections of tissues from all facial regions but was much more apparent in the forehead region. At the highest energy level of 6.1 J, NBTC histologic analysis revealed a lesion that extended from the mid dermis down through the subcutaneous fat to the level of the SMAS (Figure 6). In the middle dermis, thickening of collagen fibers is seen, with a loss of blue staining in the subdermis and SMAS that easily demarcates the lesion. The basement membrane revealed a cleft that separated the epidermis from the dermis (Figure 6).

In Figure 7, multiple TIZs can be seen discretely in the SMAS. In this region of the temple, a high-density line pattern was created using the 4.4-MHz handpiece. In this high-density pattern, multiple consecutive parallel exposure lines were delivered at the same unique power setting such that an array of densely spaced TIZs were deposited per unit volume of tissue. Relatively lower energy levels (3.0 J) compared with the cheek area were required to produce these well-defined lesions. In this gross tissue section, excellent consistency is demonstrated regarding TIZ depth and dimension. The NBTC-stained histologic analysis confirmed the successful targeting of the SMAS layer and the consistency of the closely spaced TIZ (Figure 8).

Intense ultrasound exposures using the higher-frequency 7.5-MHz handpiece with a 4.5-mm focal depth in tissue required much lower energy levels to create TIZs. Also, a much narrower window existed between creating discrete lesions in the SMAS and overtreatment. In Figure 9, we see a thin, well-demarcated lesion at 2.2 J; increasing the energy levels quickly results in over-
treated regions, as the 3.3 and 4.4 J exposure lines create lesions that approach the skin epidermis (tadpole phenomenon). At an energy exposure level of 1.6 J no gross lesion was produced; however, on NBTC histologic analysis, a discrete lesion was seen in the SMAS and connective tissue septae (Figure 10).

**QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF TIZs**

Measurements were performed to quantify TIZ dimensions and depth of penetration in tissues as source energy settings were varied. Analysis of the digital images of grossly sectioned strips of cadaveric tissues after exposure using the 4.4-MHz/4.5-mm handpiece and 3.8 to 6.1 J of energy (A) and 2.3 to 3.8 J of energy (B), SMAS indicates superficial musculoaponeurotic system. Scale indicates 1 mm per division.

Figure 5. Zones of thermal injury: forehead area. Gross nitroblue tetrazolium chloride–stained sections of forehead tissue after a set of intense ultrasound exposures using the 4.4-MHz/4.5-mm handpiece and 3.8 to 6.1 J of energy (A) and 2.3 to 3.8 J of energy (B), SMAS indicates superficial musculoaponeurotic system. Scale indicates 1 mm per division.

Figure 6. Nitroblue tetrazolium chloride histologic analysis: forehead area. Two photomicrographs were merged together to view a thermal injury zone (dotted line) extending from the mid dermis to the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) layer (4.4 MHz/4.5 mm, 6.1 J, original magnification ×100). Scale bar indicates 0.5 mm.

Figure 7. High-density line pattern of intense ultrasound exposures: left temporal area. The line of exposures is confined to the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) layer (4.4 MHz/4.5 mm, 3.0 J). Dotted circles indicate thermal injury zones. Scale indicates 1 mm per division.

Figure 8. Nitroblue tetrazolium chloride histologic analysis: left temporal area. Two separate thermal injury zones (dotted circles) can be seen confined to the superficial musculoaponeurotic system (SMAS) layer (4.4 MHz/4.5 mm, 3.0 J, original magnification ×40). Scale bar indicates 0.5 mm.

Figure 9. Zones of thermal injury: right cheek area. Gross nitroblue tetrazolium chloride–stained section of the cheek after a set of intense ultrasound exposures (7.5 MHz/4.5 mm, 2.2-4.4 J). Arrows indicate thermal injury zones; SMAS, superficial musculoaponeurotic system. Scale indicates 1 mm per division.

The preset focal depth of the 4.4-MHz handpiece (ie, 4.5 mm). A dose-response effect could be observed: as energy levels were increased from 3.8 to 8.0 J, TIZ dimensions increased proportionally (Figure 11B).

Using the 7.5-MHz handpiece, as energy levels were increased, the TIZs were close to the tissue surface (Figure 12A), which is what could be appreciated visually on the NBTC-stained gross cadaveric sections (Figure 9). Depth of penetration in tissue was variable but averaged 5.25 mm, which corresponded to the preset focal depth of the 7.5-MHz handpiece (4.5 mm) (Figure 12A). Analysis of the lesion size created (area in square millimeters) showed a close dose-response rela-
THERMAL-INDUCED IMMEDIATE COLLAGEN CONTRACTION

Treated facial regions were observed to have small but reproducible degrees of collagen contraction. In general, the greater the energy levels delivered to tissues, the greater was the percentage contraction. In Figure 13A, we see that as the energy is increased from 3.1 to 6.7 J in these single-line exposures, the shrinkage increases. However, when multiple (n = 20) IUS exposure lines are delivered, even at a much lower energy level (1.3 J), the shrinkage increases dramatically. As seen in the specimens, the high-density line pattern of exposure produces a greater number of TIZs per unit volume of soft tissue. When facial areas with a high-density line pattern were analyzed, the greatest degree of shrinkage occurred in the horizontal dimension, which was along the same axis as the greatest number of IUS exposures delivered (Figure 13B).

In this article, we introduce a novel technology with the potential for use in facial rejuvenation. We demonstrated that IUS is capable of creating zones of thermal injury (or areas of coagulative necrosis from heat) in the SMAS in human cadaveric soft tissues. These lesions are targeted, predictable, and reproducible in terms of depth, size, and shape based on handpiece frequency and source conditions (power, exposure time, and energy). Within the source conditions used in this study, the epidermis was spared even with increasing energy levels. Structures deep to the SMAS also were spared.

It is well established that IUS fields directed in soft tissue can produce ablation resulting primarily from thermal mechanisms.9 The energy from the ultrasound beam...
is absorbed in the soft tissue and is converted primarily into heat. The increased temperature, in turn, results in distinct areas of coagulative necrosis of the tissue. In the case of skin tissue, the collagen is denatured (melted), and it loses its organized structure. This tissue response (thermal ablation) achieved by an IUS field is similar to that from other energy-based devices used in the cosmetic arena, such as lasers, RF, and combination laser-RF devices. However, in contrast to the other known energy-based devices used for cosmetic applications, the IUS field is sharply focused; thereby, most of the energy is deposited in the form of heat in the focal zone of the beam, leaving the surrounding regions unaffected. With RF delivery, thermal imaging has revealed that this energy is much more diffuse and tends to affect the dermis and travel along connective tissue septae in the subdermis.

It has been demonstrated in this experiment that it is possible to create well-circumscribed TIZs selectively in the SMAS. No surface changes were noted on the cadaveric skin after exposure, and qualitative views of grossly stained tissue strips and histologic slides revealed no epidermal disruption. However, some overtreated areas revealed a characteristic cleft of the basal layer that is also seen immediately after laser therapy. Because this cleft was detected in untreated areas on histologic analysis, we believe that this may be a processing artifact.

The IUS system has been shown to produce a precise and reproducible line of lesions in tissues at a depth that corresponds well to that handpiece’s given fixed focal depth in tissue. The depth measurements of the TIZs were, on average, slightly deeper than the 4.5-mm focus. However, this may be the result of tissue swelling after immersion of the gross tissue strips in the NBTC stain. The depth of soft tissue also can affect lesion geometry. For example, in the preauricular region the soft tissue layer is comparatively thicker than other facial regions. As seen in Figure 3, IUS exposures using the 4.4-MHz handpiece produce discrete TIZs in the SMAS. However, in the forehead region the soft tissue layer is relatively thinner, and Figure 5 reveals overtreatment, or “tadpole formation” (a phenomenon that has been well documented for whole-organ ablation using IUS). This is likely due to reflected ultrasound waves from the periosteum and bone.

Although we demonstrated a small degree of immediate shrinkage after IUS exposure, this study is limited in the fact that the experimentation performed on cadaveric tissue is focused on evaluation of the immediate contraction induced by thermal denaturation of the collagen tissue. When tissue is heated to 65°C, there is a disruption of the intermolecular peptide bonds of the collagen triple helix (denaturation). As these bonds dissociate, the 3-dimensional structure unwinds, and an immediate collagen contraction ensues.

The use of ultrasound imaging to visualize the facial nerve and to direct therapies in the region, including the parotid gland, while avoiding the facial nerve has been successfully reported in Nd:YAG treatments of hemangiomas and vascular malformations of the head and neck. The subdermal delivery of energy in the face and neck has been reported with monopolar RF treatment (Thermage; Thermage Inc, Hayward, Calif) such as the non-surgical facelift procedure. However, these treatments do not produce focused lesions in a planned and targeted distribution, as demonstrated in this study. Furthermore, the simultaneous use of ultrasound for imaging and treatment of the SMAS has not been previously reported, to our knowledge.

This study suggests that IUS may have several characteristics that are particularly well suited to facial treatment. First, the technology leverages high-resolution ultrasound for imaging and treating the region in the same imaged field. The images generated provide a clear representation of the facial layers, including the skin, subcutaneous tissue, SMAS, and parotid. Second, we demonstrated that the lesion generated is highly selective regarding depth and size because these are directly related to the source frequency and the energy delivered. The handpieces used for this study are at a set frequency (7.5 or 4.4 MHz) and have a fixed focal depth in tissue (4.5 mm), limiting the nominal depth to which most of the energy is delivered in the tissue to approximately 5 mm. Higher energy levels produce lesions that are generally shallower and progress superficially. Lesions produced are consistent for a given region of facial tissue and source conditions, including the frequency and focal depth in tissue of a handpiece (which determines the depth of the lesion) and source energy of the pulse (which determines the size and shape of the lesion).

As a result, we can (1) image an appropriate area of interest and (2) deliver energy limited to a specific depth.
The mean ± SD depths of the facial nerve in this area have been previously described in the literature as follows: main nerve trunk, 20.1 ± 3.1 mm, and nerve exit from the parotid edge, 9.1 ± 2.8 mm for temporal, 9.2 ± 2.2 mm for zygomatic, 9.6 ± 2.0 mm for buccal, and 10.6 ± 2.7 mm for mandibular branches. These are all deep to the greatest depth produced in this study using the 2 handpiece frequencies and source configurations.

Histologic examination of IUS exposures demonstrated coagulative necrosis induced by thermal damage to the SMAS region while sparing the epidermis in a narrow range of energy levels. The characteristics of each of these histologic findings are similar to those of thermal ablation using other energy-based modalities, such as lasers and RF. The maximal area estimated for the zones of ablation achieved in this investigation are approximately 0.5 to 18 mm².

This study was limited by the fact that the human ambient body temperature is higher than the temperatures of the cadaveric tissue used in this experiment. Therefore, treatment levels in patients may require less energy to produce the same TIZs. Future clinical investigation in vivo is necessary to understand the effects of other factors, such as blood flow and immediate and delayed inflammatory reactions of the SMAS layer to TIZs.

In conclusion, we demonstrated in human cadaveric facial tissue that IUS energy can noninvasively image and selectively produce TIZs of reproducible location, size, and geometry in the SMAS layer. The ability to transcutaneously target the SMAS and to produce patterns of focused collagen denaturation to induce shrinkage has significant implications for aesthetic facial rejuvenation.
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